

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/966,538	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RIDDLE, GUY	
	<b>Examiner</b> QING-YUAN WU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(2) Mark Spolyar.

**Date of Interview:** 19 February 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:  
1-5,7-18,20-22 and 24-27

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*General discussion of proposed amendment to be enter as examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)